



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent' and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

SS:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.pento.gov

APPLICATION NO	١.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/543,207	09/543,207 04/05/2000 Kiyos 27127 7590 04/02/2004		Kiyoshi Maruyama	Y0999-470	Y0999-470 7275	
27127				EXAM	KAMINER	
		RTMAN, P.C.	C.	MIRZA, ADNAN M		
552 EAST 700 NORTH VALPARAISO, IN 46383				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2141	8	
				DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	//
•	·	09/543,207	MARUYAMA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Adnan M Mirza	2141	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or.	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Vaid et al (U.S. 6,502,131).

As per claim 1 Vaid disclosed a system for controlling and managing Internet server farm traffic through a plurality of servers, the server farm traffic arriving at a server farm as inbound traffic organized by customer (i) and traffic type (j) and leaving the server farm as outbound traffic the system being operable to control and manage the outbound traffic in accordance with outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin,Bmax) (col. 10, lines 17-26), the system comprising: means for collecting the admitted rate (Ra) of inbound traffic for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 3, lines 29-31); means for collecting the rejected rate (Rr) of inbound traffic for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 4, lines 49-61); means for collecting the outbound traffic (B) for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for computing an expected bandwidth usage (col. 6, lines 27-33) (b) per TCP connection request for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for computing the target rate (Rt) for each customer traffic type (i,j) that

Art Unit: 2141

supports the outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin,Bmax); limiter means for admitting inbound traffic based on the target rate (Rt) and for tracking the volume of admitted inbound traffic (Ra) and the volume of rejected inbound traffic (Rr) for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 7, lines 15-23); means for relaying the target rates (Rt) for inbound traffic to the limiter means; and means for dispatching the admitted inbound traffic (Ra) to the servers (col. 17, lines 4-11)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colby et al (U.S. 6,006,264) and further in view of Vaid et al (U.S. 6,520,131).

As per claims 1,16,25,29 Colby disclosed a system for controlling and managing Internet server farm traffic through a plurality of servers, the server farm traffic arriving at a server farm as inbound traffic organized by customer (i) and traffic type (j) and leaving the server farm as outbound traffic (col. 8, lines 35-45), the system being operable to control and manage the outbound traffic in accordance with outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin,Bmax) (col. 9, lines 9-20), the system comprising: means for collecting the admitted rate (Ra) of inbound traffic for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 5, lines 52-60); means for collecting the rejected rate (Rr) of inbound traffic for each customer traffic type (i,j)

Art Unit: 2141

(col. 10, lines 60-63); means for collecting the outbound traffic (B) for each customer traffic type (i,j) (col. 5, lines 61-67 & col. 6, lines 1-6);

However Colby failed to disclose means for computing an expected bandwidth usage (b) per TCP connection request for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for computing the target rate (Rt) for each customer traffic type (i,j) that supports the outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin,Bmax); limiter means for admitting inbound traffic based on the target rate (Rt) and for tracking the volume of admitted inbound traffic (Ra) and the volume of rejected inbound traffic (Rr) for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for relaying the target rates (Rt) for inbound traffic to the limiter means; and means for dispatching the admitted inbound traffic (Ra) to the servers.

In the same filed of endeavor Vaid disclosed policies can be specified to control traffic flows in terms of overall bandwidth guarantees, bandwidth limits, priority of service, how individual sessions within a class are serviced or admitted, and other aspects (col. 17, lines 4-8). Bandwidth usually refers to maximum available bit rate for a specific application. In a specific embodiment, synchronous, interactive, and real-time applications, which are bandwidth-sensitive, can require minimum bandwidth guarantees, and can require sustained and burst scale bit rates (col. 5, lines 31-36). The Fair Module generally implements traffic control and manages bandwidth of incoming and outgoing information to and from the network or link. Flow Analysis and Intelligent Regulation ("FAIR") implements traffic control based on a combination of flow control and queuing algorithms. FAIR's objective provides inbound and outbound traffic control based on combination of flow control and queuing algorithms. FAIR's objective provides

Application/Control Number: 09/543,207

Art Unit: 2141

inbound and outbound traffic management for meaningful time intervals, reducing the load on packet classifiers and packet schedulers. The FAIR module controls the incoming and outgoing information to and from the network (col. 13, lines 59-67 & col. 14, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated means for computing an expected bandwidth usage (b) per TCP connection request for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for computing the target rate (Rt) for each customer traffic type (i,j) that supports the outbound bandwidth usage-based service level agreements of form (Bmin,Bmax); limiter means for admitting inbound traffic based on the target rate (Rt) and for tracking the volume of admitted inbound traffic (Ra) and the volume of rejected inbound traffic (Rr) for each customer traffic type (i,j); means for relaying the target rates (Rt) for inbound traffic to the limiter means; and means for dispatching the admitted inbound traffic (Ra) to the servers to make the use of wide area networking more efficient and reduce the latency in terms of traffic over the Internet.

2. As per claims 2,19,26 Vaid disclosed wherein the means for collecting the admitted rate (Ra) and the rejected rate (Rr) of inbound traffic comprises an inbound traffic scheduler device and an inbound traffic monitor, the inbound traffic monitor being operable to observe the admitted rate (Ra) and the rejected rate (Rr) of inbound traffic and relay the admitted rate (Ra) and rejected rate (Rr) to the inbound traffic scheduler device (col. 13, lines 59-67).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/543,207 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

3. As per claims 3,18 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic monitor is associated with the dispatching means (col. 13, lines 35-42).

- 4. As per claims 4-7,20-21,27,29 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic monitor is associated with the dispatching means (col. 20, lines 58-65 & col.13, lines 59-67).
- 5. As per claims 8-10,30 Vaid disclosed further comprising means for observing the average resource usage (c) of each server consumed for each consumer traffic type (i,j) (col. 5, lines 1-14).
- 6. As per claims 11,23 Vaid disclosed wherein the dispatching means comprises at least one inbound traffic limiter, a high-speed LAN and a plurality of dispatchers, the limiter means being associated with the inbound traffic limiter, each of the dispatchers being associated with at least one of the servers (col. 7, liens 12-23).
- 7. As per claims 12-15,24 Vaid disclosed wherein the dispatching means comprises a high-speed LAN and a plurality of dispatchers (col. 12, lines 11-22), the limiter means and monitor means being associated with each of the dispatchers, each of the dispatchers being associated with at least one of the servers (col. 11, lines 42-48).
- 8. As per claims 17,32-33 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic scheduler is operable to compute target rates (Rt) for all customer traffic type (i,j) to meet with the service level agreements of form (Bmin, Bmax) on the outbound bandwidth usage, and is operable to support

Application/Control Number: 09/543,207 Page 7

Art Unit: 2141

both bandwidth borrowing and bandwidth not-borrowing modes of operations (col. 3, lines 62-67, col. 4, lines 1-14).

- 9. As per claims 22,31 Vaid disclosed wherein the inbound traffic dispatching network is operable to balance the inbound traffic among the servers (col. 12, lines 12-32).
- 10. As per claim 28 Colby disclosed wherein the step of collecting the bound (Rbound(i,j)) on the target rate (Rt(i,j)) comprises the steps of receiving the bound (Rbound(i,j)) from a server resource manager (col. 8, lines 37-55).

Applicant's arguments are as follows:

11. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose limiting inbound traffic to control outbound bandwidth based on an estimate.

As to applicant's argument Vaid disclosed the Fair Module generally implements traffic control and manages bandwidth of incoming and outgoing information to and from the network or link. Flow Analysis and Intelligent Regulation ("FAIR") implements traffic control based on a combination of flow control and queuing algorithms. FAIR's objective provides inbound and outbound traffic control based on combination of flow control and queuing algorithms. FAIR's objective provides inbound and outbound traffic management for meaningful time intervals, reducing the load on packet classifiers and packet schedulers. The FAIR module controls the incoming and outgoing information to and from the network (col. 13, lines 63-67 & col. 14, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/543,207

Art Unit: 2141

1-2). One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention can easily interpreted the Fair module implements traffic control and manages bandwidth of incoming and outgoing information to and form the network or link as limiting inbound traffic to control outbound bandwidth based on an estimate.

Page 8

Conclusion

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (703)-305-4633.
- 13. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (703)-308-5221. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

14. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

Application/Control Number: 09/543,207

Art Unit: 2141

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED

PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

15. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4th Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II,

2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

RUPAL DHARIA

Page 9